# DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

### CIAIT YCLION NO. 05-11803-MLW

EVELINE BARROS-CEPEDA SHEVIA DANCY-STWEART as

Plaintiff,

٠,

THOMAS TAYLOR, JR., and the CITY OF BOSTON

Defendants.

# MR. CARVALHO'S RESPONSE TO THE COURT'S ORDER NUMBER 105

Now comes, Luis Carvalho, Third Party Witness, and responds to the Court's Order Document No. 105 as follows:

#### PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

Mr. Carvalho states that in accordance with the Court's Order he will to the best of his ability comply with the edict of the court and attempt to identify in each instance the deposition questions that he must continue to assert his Fifth Amendment rights against self-incrimination and each deposition question that he can comply to with waiving his Fifth Amendment rights.

However, Mr. Carvalho objects to the Court's Order to the extent that complying with that Order will cause him to waive the very rights is he has asserted as a response to each query

may expose him to possible criminal and civil liability.

Further, Mr. Carvalho's response to the Court's Order is not a waiver of any of the Fifth

Amendment Rights he has asserted or will continue to assert in this matter.

In an effort to comply with the Court's Order, Mr. Carvalho has not listed each query to which he has asserted his Fifth Amendment Rights during the deposition. Instead, Mr. Carvalho has attempted to identify, with particularity, only each query that he must continue to assert his Fifth Amendment Rights.

To the extent that Mr. Carvalho has not included certain deposition inquiries in this response, such exclusion is not a waiver of Mr. Carvalho a right to assert his Fifth Amendment Rights if such response would result in the loss of his constitutional privilege.

# KESPONSE TO COURT'S ORDER TO IDENTIFY THE DEPOSITION QUESTIONS

Below Mr. Carvalho identifies the following deposition queries that he continues to assert his Fifth Amendment Rights because a response to these queries may require Mr. Carvalho to provide materially different answers to other inquiries that Mr. Carvalho provided under oath at criminal or other civil proceedings, thereby subjecting him to possible perjury charges. Finally, Mr. Carvalho states that if the Court Orders that he respond to the following deposition questions, his responses may constitute a violation of the terms of the terms of his federal parole.

Spad?	Did you at some point go onto Colu	Page 46, Line 16
es had a firearm?	Did you see whether Carlos Fernand	Page 46, Line 11
ck into the Ford Taurus?	Did you see Carlos Fernandes get ba	Page 46, Line 5
	Jerome on September 8, 2002?	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
the corner of Cushing Avenue and	who was driving the Ford Taurus at	Page 45, Line 24
3, 2002	Jerome and Cushing on September 8	
a firearm while at the corner of	Did you see Carlos Fernandes shoot	Page 45, Line 17
	September 8, 2002?	
a firearm while in the Ford Taurus on	Did you see Carlos Fernandes have	Page 45, Line 13
	2002?	
and Cushing Avenue on September 8,	Ford Taurus at the corner of Jerome	
	Do you recall seeing Carlos Fernand	Page 45, Line 3
	Cushing?	
	What were you doing at the corner	Page 44, Line 19
hing Avenue in Roxbury?	the corner of Jerome Street and Cus	
ening of September 8, 2002, going to	Do you recall at any point on the ev	Page 44, Line 15
	Deposition Question	Page and Line

റ്	ıım	ent	112	Fi	led
UU	unn	CIII	112		וכט

struck, any person get struck whit a bullet on	Page 69, Line 14 Did you observe anyone get
	September 8, 2002, at Cushi
get struck with bullets during the shooting on	
	shooting on September 8, 20
id you observe any vehicles struck during the	Page69, Line 4 Did you observe anything, d
	September 8, 2002?
bullets during the shooting that took place on	Page 69, Line 1 Were any home struck with
	September 8, 2002?
no surank gaines on Cushing Avenue on	······································
	Avenue on September 8, 200
ry person who used a firearm on Cushing	
	Avenue on September 8, 200
ry person who possessed a firearm at Cushing	
Avenue on September 8, 2002?	
	Surabing Avenue?
oring that took place on September 8, 2002 on	
	place at Cushing Avenue on
ividuals who witnessed any shooting that took	
	Senney Avenue?
nooting that took place on September 8, 202 at	
oxbury on September 8, 2002?	
hat left the scene after a shooting that took	
	Roxbury?
noting that took place on Cushing Avenue in	
	dxoX ni sunsvA gnirla Avenue in Roxb
ie leave the scene of a shooting that took place	
	Jerome Avenue in Roxbury?
ou see a shooting take place on Cushing or	
	Avenue?
you involved in a shooting on Cushing	
Grand Jury regarding this incident?	
	incident?
Ty before a Grand Jury regarding this	
	Page 54, Line 1 Where were you going that e
nandes get into a Ford Taurus that evening?	
	Page 48, Line 18 Did that plan involve using a
my drugs?	Page 48, Line 16 Did that plan involve using a
_	Sgoing to do that evening?
uals involved in that plan as to what you were	
	Page 48, Line 7 Who did that plan involve?
	Sgnineve that to do that evening?
s another question. Did you have a plan as to	
nt that evening with those individuals?	
_	September 8, 2002?
recall what you did on the evening of	Page 46, Line 22 Back on the record. Do you

protects against evidence that would provide "a link in the chain of evidence needed to

ook place on Cushing Avenue on September 8, 2002?	1
Vere you involved in any way into any investigation into the shooting that	
Cepeda?	)
Avenue on September 8, 2002, prior to the death of Eveline Barros-	
Are you aware of any investigation into shooting that occurred on Cushing	Page 84, Line 5
Sveline Barros-Cepeda	H
Are you aware of any investigation that took place into the death of	Page 83, Line 22
Lave you been involved in a gang since September 8, 2002?	Page 82, Line 22
September 8, 2002, a member of a gang?	S
Was the – any person who possessed a firearm on Cushing Avenue on	Page 82, Line 17
0002, gang related in any way?	
Was the shooting that took place on Cushing Avenue on September 8,	Page 82, Line 13
Sang	3
n September 8, 2002, on September 8, 2002 were you a member of any	Page 82, Line 10
Oo you recall the Ford Taurus turning onto Dunkeld Street?	Page 71, Line 22
At what speed was the Ford Taurus traveling on Dunkeld Street?	Page 71, Line 19
At what point did you observe a police cruiser behind the Ford Taurus?	Page 71, Line 20
Oo you recall what speed it was traveling on Hancock Street?	
September 8, 2002?	
Did you observe who was operating the vehicle on Hancock Street on	Page 71, Line 13
Oid you observe what speed it was traveling?	
September 8, 2002?	
Oo you recall who was driving the vehicle on Quincy Avenue on	Page 71, Line 8
Oo you recall what speed it was traveling on Jerome Street?	
Street on September 8, 2002?	
O you recall who was driving the Ford Taurus while it was on Jerome	Page 71, Line 1
Sushing Avenue on September 8, 2002?	
Oo you recall what speed the Ford Taurus was traveling at while it was on	
Columbia road on September 8, 2002?	)
Oo you know who was operating the Ford Taurus while it was on	Page 70, Line 17
September 8, 2002?	
O you know who was driving the vehicle as it exited Cushing Avenue on	Page 70, Line 14
Avenue on September 8, 2002?	7
Oid you observe any person in possession of ammunition on Cushing	
on September 8, 2002?	
Oid you observe any person in possession of a firearm on Cushing Avenue	
Sushing Avenue?	
Did you observe any person use a firearm on September 8, 2002, on	
Cushing Avenue on September 8, 2002?	

## **VECUMENT**

The Supreme Court held that the Fifth Amendment's privilege against self-incrimination

prosecute." <u>Malloy v. Hogan</u>, 378 U.S. I, 11 (1964). A party asserting such a privilege must demonstrate a "nexus" between the information sought and the potential for criminal liability. Baker v. Limber, 647 F.2d 912, 916 (9th Cir. 1981).

Mr. Carvalho has attempted to identify each deposition question (and its related question) that requires him to assert his Fifth Amendment Rights to protect himself from self-incrimination. If Mr. Carvalho is required to respond to any of the above-listed deposition questions, it will pose a clear danger of exposing him to the possibility of criminal and/or civil

prosecution.

Mr. Carvalho states that the Statute of Limitations has not run on the criminal and/or civil

liability to which he may be exposed, that testifying on these issues would likely result in such prosecution, and may constitute a violation of his parole conditions.

In addition, Mr. Carvalho states that the assertion of his Fifth Amendment Rights does not hinder Plaintiff's case or Defendants' ability to defend themselves as Mr. Carvalho can

testify to his observations at the time of the death of Angela Cepeda-Barros.

Wherefore, Mr. Carvalho moves the Court for the following Protective Order:

A. Barring all counsel from requiring Mr. Carvalho to respond to the deposition

questions above;

B. Requiring that Mr. Carvalho's only answer the deposition questions presently on

the record;

C. Stating Mr. Carvalho's deposition will be deemed concluded after he answers all

other unrelated questions in the subject deposition transcript;

D. That Mr. Carvalho's complying with the Court's Orders (and response to any

deposition question) does not constitute a waiver of his Fifth Amendment Rights.

E. Grant Mr. Carvalho all of the costs associated in the filing of his motion and

responding to the Court's Order; and

F. Such further relief as the Court deems mete and just.

Respectfully submitted,

By his attorney,

/s/ A. Damien Puller, Esq. A. Damien Puller, Esq. 252 West St. P.O. Box 461 Mansfield, MA 02048 (617) 803-1700 DATED: April 15, 2008

.sussi

#### CEKLILICYLE OF SERVICE

I, A. Damien Puller, hereby certify that, this 15th day of April, 2008, I sent a copy of the above document by electronic mail to all counsel at their e-mail addresses of record.

/s/ A. Damien Puller
A. Damien Puller

L.R. 7.1 Certification

Counsel certifies that he has conferred and has attempted in good faith to resolve or narrow the

A. Damien Puller
A. Damien Puller